arXiv:math/0402427v1 [math.DG] 26 Feb 2004

Lower Bounds of the Dirac Eigenvalues on Compact Riemannian Spin Manifolds with Locally Product Structure

Eui Chul Kim

Department of Mathematics Education, Andong National University,
388 Songchon-dong, Andong, Kyoungsangbuk-do, 760-749, South Korea
e-mail: eckim@andong.ac.kr

Abstract. We study some similarities between almost product Riemannian structures and almost Hermitian structures. Inspired by the similarities, we prove lower eigenvalue estimates for the Dirac operator on compact Riemannian spin manifolds with locally product structures. We also provide some examples (limiting manifolds) for the limiting case of the estimates.

MSC(2000): 53C25, 53C27, 58B20
Keywords: Riemannian spin manifold, Dirac operator, Eigenvalue

1 Introduction

Let (M^n, g) be an n-dimensional (smooth oriented) Riemannian spin manifold without boundary. When M^n is compact, the spectrum of the Dirac operator D of (M^n, g) is discrete and real. The first sharp estimate for the smallest absolute value of eigenvalues λ of the Dirac operator D was obtained by Friedrich [8]. Using a suitable deformation of Riemannian spin connection, he proved the inequality

$$\lambda^2 \ \geq \ \frac{n}{4(n-1)} \inf_M S \tag{1.1}$$

on manifolds (M^n, g) with positive scalar curvature $S > 0$. Equality occurs if and only if (M^n, g) admits a (real) *Killing spinor* , i.e., a non-trivial solution ψ to the *Killing equation* for spinor fields,

$$\nabla_X \psi = -\frac{\lambda}{n} X \cdot \psi, \qquad \lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

where X is an arbitrary vector field on M^n and the dot " $\cdot$ " indicates the Clifford multiplication [3, 10]. The inequality (1.1) has been improved in several directions [5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19]. We refer to [7] for a concise exposition of the first eigenvalue (the smallest absolute value) estimates as well as the classification problems of limiting manifolds.

An optimal lower bound of the Dirac eigenvalues depends on the geometric structure (the holonomy group) that the considered manifold may possess. A remarkable observation was made by Hijazi that, if (M^n, g) admits a parallel k-form, $0 < k < n$, then there exist no Killing spinors (see [3], p.32). Furthermore, if (M^n, g) possesses a locally product structure, then there exist no Killing spinors (see [3], p.35). An interesting improvement of the Friedrich inequality (1.1) in these directions was found by Alexandrov, Grantcharov and Ivanov [1, 20]. They proved that, if (M^n, g), $n \geq 3$, admits a parallel 1-form, then any eigenvalue λ of the Dirac operator D satisfies

$$\lambda^2 \;\geq\; \frac{n-1}{4(n-2)} \inf_M S. \tag{1.2}$$

(Equality occurs if and only if there exists a non-trivial solution to the field equation (1.4) below, with $n_1 = n-1$ and $n_2 = 1$).

In this paper we study some similarities between *almost product Riemannian structures* and *almost Hermitian structures.* In Section 2 we translate some basic results in Kähler spin geometry [13, 14, 15] into the forms to be appropriate for almost product Riemannian manifolds. Inspired by the similarities, we will prove lower eigenvalue estimates for the Dirac operator on compact Riemannian spin manifolds with locally product structure (Theorem 1.1 and 1.2). Our new inequalities contain the inequality (1.2) as a special case. To state the main result of the paper precisely, we now recall some basic facts from almost product Riemannian geometry [23].

A Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called *locally decomposable* if it admits a (1,1)-tensor field ϕ with the following properties :
(i) $\phi^2(X) = X$ and $g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y)$ for all vector fields X, Y.
(ii) $\nabla\phi = 0$, i.e., ϕ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇.
In case that (M^n, g, ϕ) is locally decomposable, the tangent bundle $T(M^n)$ decomposes into $T(M^n) = T^+(M^n) \oplus T^-(M^n)$ under the action of the endomorphism ϕ, where

$$T^{\pm}(M^n) := \{\, Z \in T(M^n) : \phi(Z) = \pm Z \,\}.$$

Due to the condition $\nabla\phi = 0$, the distributions $T^{\pm}(M^n)$ are integrable. In fact, around each point $x \in M^n$, there is an open neighbourhood U that has a Riemannian product structure of the form $(U, g) = (U_1 \times U_2,\, g_1 + g_2)$. If (M^n, g) is simply connected and complete, then there is a global splitting $(M^n, g) = (M_1 \times M_2,\, g_1 + g_2)$ (see [21], p.228).

Let (M^n, g, ϕ) be a locally decomposable Riemannian manifold with a fixed spin structure, and let $(E_1, \ldots, E_n)$ be a local orthonormal frame field. Then the spin derivative ∇

and the Dirac operator D of (M^n, g, ϕ), acting on sections $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M^n))$ of the spinor bundle $\Sigma(M^n)$, are locally expressed as

$$\nabla_X \psi = X(\psi) + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \cdot \nabla_X E_i \cdot \psi$$

and

$$D\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \cdot \nabla_{E_i}\psi,$$

respectively. As in the Kählerian case [13], let us define the *twist* $\widetilde{D}$ of the Dirac operator D by

$$\widetilde{D}\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \cdot \nabla_{\phi(E_i)}\psi = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi(E_j) \cdot \nabla_{E_j}\psi.$$

In Section 3 we will prove the following theorems.

Theorem 1.1 *Let (M^n, g, ϕ), $n \geq 4$, be a locally decomposable Riemannian spin manifold with positive scalar curvature $S > 0$. Assume that (M^n, g, ϕ) is compact and the dimension n_1 of $T^+(M^n)$ is equal to the dimension n_2 of $T^-(M^n)$ (i.e., $n = n_1 + n_2 = 2n_1$). Then any eigenvalue λ of the Dirac operator D of (M^n, g, ϕ) satisfies*

$$\lambda^2 \ \geq \ \frac{n}{4(n-2)} \inf_M S.$$

Equality occurs if and only if there exists a non-trivial spinor field ψ^* such that the differential equation

$$\nabla_X \psi^* = -\frac{\lambda^*}{n} X \cdot \psi^* - \frac{1}{n}\phi(X) \cdot \widetilde{D}\psi^* \tag{1.3}$$

holds for some real number $\lambda^* \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all vector fields X.

Theorem 1.2 *Let (M^n, g, ϕ), $n \geq 3$, be a locally decomposable Riemannian spin manifold with positive scalar curvature $S > 0$. Assume that (M^n, g, ϕ) is compact and*

$$n_1 = \dim(T^+(M^n)) \ > \ n_2 = \dim(T^-(M^n)) \ \geq \ 1.$$

Then any eigenvalue λ of the Dirac operator D of (M^n, g, ϕ) satisfies

$$\lambda^2 \ \geq \ \frac{n_1}{4(n_1-1)} \inf_M S.$$

Equality occurs if and only if there exists a non-trivial spinor field ψ^* such that the differential equation

$$\nabla_X \psi^* = -\frac{\lambda^*}{2n_1} X \cdot \psi^* - \frac{\lambda^*}{2n_1}\phi(X) \cdot \psi^* \tag{1.4}$$

holds for some real number $\lambda^* \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all vector fields X.

Riemannian spin manifolds admitting non-trivial solutions to the field equation (1.3) (resp. the field equation (1.4)) are called *limiting (locally decomposable) manifolds.* In Section 4 we provide the following examples of limiting manifolds :
Let $(M_1^{n_1}, g_1)$ and $(M_2^{n_2}, g_2)$ be Riemannian spin manifolds admitting Killing spinors. Let $(\overline{M}_2^{n_2}, \overline{g}_2)$ be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting parallel spinors. For the classification of manifolds with Killing spinors (resp. parallel spinors), we refer to [2, 22].
(i) If $n_1 = n_2 \geq 2$, then the Riemannian product manifold $(M_1^{n_1} \times M_2^{n_2},\, g_1 + g_2)$ as well as $(M_1^{n_1} \times \overline{M}_2^{n_2},\, g_1 + \overline{g}_2)$ satisfies the limiting case of Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 4.1 and 4.3).
(ii) If $n_1 > n_2 \geq 1$, then the Riemannian product manifold $(M_1^{n_1} \times \overline{M}_2^{n_2},\, g_1 + \overline{g}_2)$ satisfies the limiting case of Theorem 1.2 (see Theorem 4.2 and 4.4).

2 Basic properties of the twisted Dirac operator $\widetilde{D}$ and some remarks on the limiting case of Theorem 1.1-1.2

In this section we define the twist $\widetilde{D}$ of the Dirac operator D, introduced in the previous section, in a general setting. We then establish some formulas needed to prove Theorem 1.1-1.2 in the next section. We will in fact show that some basic results in Kähler spin geometry can be translated into the forms to be appropriate for almost product Riemannian manifolds. Because of the similarities between almost product Riemannian structures and almost Hermitian structures, we will describe the formulas in a unified way so as to be valid for both types of structures.

Let (M^n, g) be an n-dimensional Riemannian spin manifold. Let ϕ be a (1,1)-tensor field on (M^n, g) such that $\phi^2 = \sigma I$, $\sigma = \pm 1$, and

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y)$$

for all vector fields X, Y (Here I stands for the identity map). Since

$$g(\phi X, Y) = \sigma\, g(X, \phi Y),$$

the endomorphism ϕ is skew-symmetric if $\sigma = -1$ and symmetric if $\sigma = 1$, respectively. Note that (M^n, g, ϕ) is called an *almost Hermitian manifold* if $\sigma = -1$ and an *almost product Riemannian manifold* if $\sigma = 1$, respectively. Let $\Sigma(M^n)$ be the spinor bundle of (M^n, g, ϕ). In terms of local orthonomal frame field $(E_1, \ldots, E_n)$, the spin derivative ∇ and the Dirac operator D, acting on sections $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M^n))$ of $\Sigma(M^n)$, are locally expressed as

$$\nabla_X \psi = X(\psi) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \cdot \nabla_X E_i \cdot \psi$$

and

$$D\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \cdot \nabla_{E_i} \psi,$$

respectively. Associated with the endomorphism ϕ, we define the *ϕ-twist* of the Dirac operator D by

$$\widetilde{D}\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i \cdot \nabla_{\phi(E_i)}\psi = \sigma \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(E_i) \cdot \nabla_{E_i}\psi. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $(\cdot,\cdot) = \mathrm{Re}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be the real part of the standard Hermitian product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on the spinor bundle $\Sigma(M^n)$. Then, from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & -\sigma\,\mathrm{div}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\psi_1,\, \phi(E_i)\cdot\psi_2)E_i\Big) \\ = \;& (\widetilde{D}\psi_1,\, \psi_2) - (\psi_1,\, \widetilde{D}\psi_2) - \sigma\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\psi_1,\, (\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(E_i)\cdot\psi_2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

we see that $\widetilde{D}$ is self-adjoint with respect to L^2-product if ϕ satisfies

$$\mathrm{div}(\phi) = 0.$$

Proposition 2.1 *On almost product Riemannian (resp. almost Hermitian) spin manifold (M^n, g, ϕ), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{D}^2\psi - D^2\psi \\ = \;& -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} g((\nabla^2\phi)(E_j, E_l, E_k) - (\nabla^2\phi)(E_j, E_k, E_l),\, \phi(E_i))E_i\cdot E_j\cdot E_k\cdot E_l\cdot\psi \\ & + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\phi(E_i)\cdot(\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(E_j)\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi, \end{aligned}$$

where the second covariant derivative $(\nabla^2\phi)(Z, Y, X)$ is defined by

$$(\nabla^2\phi)(Z,Y,X) = \nabla_X\{(\nabla_Y\phi)(Z)\} - (\nabla_Y\phi)(\nabla_X Z) - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y}\phi)(Z).$$

Proof. Using a local orthonormal frame field $(E_1, \ldots, E_n)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{D}^2\psi = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}(E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi) \\ = \;& \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi \\ = \;& \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\Big\{\nabla_{\phi E_i}\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi - \nabla_{\phi E_j}\nabla_{\phi E_i}\psi\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\phi E_i}\nabla_{\phi E_i}\psi+\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi\\
=\;&\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot E_j\cdot R(\phi E_i,\phi E_j)(\psi)+\triangle(\psi)+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{[\phi E_i,\,\phi E_j]}\psi\\
&-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i}(\phi E_i)}\psi+\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi. \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{[\phi E_i,\,\phi E_j]}\psi\\
=\;&\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i}(\phi E_j)}\psi+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i}(\phi E_i)}\psi\\
=\;&\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{(\nabla_{\phi E_i}\phi)(E_j)}\psi+\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi(\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_j)}\psi+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i}(\phi E_i)}\psi\\
=\;&\sigma\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot(\nabla_{\phi E_i}\phi)(E_j)\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi-\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i}(\phi E_i)}\psi
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$\sigma\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot(\nabla_{\phi E_i}\phi)(E_j)\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi=\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\phi(E_i)\cdot(\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(E_j)\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi,$$

the equation (2.3) now becomes

$$\widetilde{D}^2\psi=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot E_j\cdot R(\phi E_i,\phi E_j)(\psi)+\triangle(\psi)+\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}\phi(E_i)\cdot(\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(E_j)\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi. \qquad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, the identity

$$(\nabla^2\phi)(Z,Y,X)-(\nabla^2\phi)(Z,X,Y)=R(X,Y)(\phi Z)-\phi\{R(X,Y)(Z)\}$$

implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&R(\phi E_i,\phi E_j,E_k,E_l)-R(E_i,E_j,E_k,E_l)\\
=\;&g((\nabla^2\phi)(E_j,E_l,E_k)-(\nabla^2\phi)(E_j,E_k,E_l),\ \phi E_i)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_i\cdot E_j\cdot R(\phi E_i,\phi E_j)(\psi)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} R(\phi E_i, \phi E_j, E_k, E_l) E_i \cdot E_j \cdot E_k \cdot E_l \cdot \psi \\
&= -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} R(E_i, E_j, E_k, E_l) E_i \cdot E_j \cdot E_k \cdot E_l \cdot \psi \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} g((\nabla^2\phi)(E_j, E_l, E_k) - (\nabla^2\phi)(E_j, E_k, E_l),\ \phi E_i) E_i \cdot E_j \cdot E_k \cdot E_l \cdot \psi \\
&= \frac{1}{4} S\,\psi \qquad (2.5) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{8}\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{n} g((\nabla^2\phi)(E_j, E_l, E_k) - (\nabla^2\phi)(E_j, E_k, E_l),\ \phi E_i) E_i \cdot E_j \cdot E_k \cdot E_l \cdot \psi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (2.5) and the Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula

$$D^2 = \triangle + \frac{1}{4} S$$

to (2.4), we obtain the formula of the proposition. QED.

Proposition 2.2 *On almost product Riemannian (resp. almost Hermitian) spin manifold* (M^n, g, ϕ)*, we have*

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D(\widetilde{D}\psi) + \widetilde{D}(D\psi) \\
= & -\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla^2\psi)(\phi E_i, E_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\nabla^2\psi)(E_i, \phi E_i) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot E_j \cdot R(E_i, \phi E_j)(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot E_j \cdot R(\phi E_i, E_j)(\psi) \\
& + \sigma \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot (\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(E_j) \cdot \nabla_{E_j}\psi,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second spinor derivative $(\nabla^2\psi)(Y, X)$ *is defined by*

$$(\nabla^2\psi)(Y, X) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \psi - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y}\psi.$$

Proof. Using a local orthonormal frame field $(E_1, \ldots, E_n)$, we compute

$$D(\widetilde{D}\psi) + \widetilde{D}(D\psi)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}(E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi) + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}(E_j\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{E_i}\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}\nabla_{E_j}\psi \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{E_i}\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_j\cdot E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}\nabla_{E_j}\psi - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_j\cdot E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}\nabla_{E_j}\psi \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{E_i}\nabla_{\phi E_i}\psi - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\phi E_i}\nabla_{E_i}\psi \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot R(E_i,\phi E_j)(\psi) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot R(\phi E_i,E_j)(\psi) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\nabla^2\psi)(\phi E_i,E_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\nabla^2\psi)(E_i,\phi E_i) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{[E_i,\,\phi E_j]}\psi + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{[\phi E_i,\,E_j]}\psi \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\nabla_{E_i}(\phi E_i)}\psi - \sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_i}\psi \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot\nabla_{\phi E_i}E_j\cdot\nabla_{E_j}\psi.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{[E_i,\,\phi E_j]}\psi + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i\cdot E_j\cdot\nabla_{[\phi E_i,\,E_j]}\psi
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot E_j \cdot \nabla_{\nabla_{E_i}(\phi E_j)}\psi + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot E_j \cdot \nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i} E_j}\psi \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\nabla_{E_i}(\phi E_i)}\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i} E_i}\psi \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot E_j \cdot \nabla_{(\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(E_j)}\psi + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot E_j \cdot \nabla_{\phi(\nabla_{E_i} E_j)}\psi \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot E_j \cdot \nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i} E_j}\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\nabla_{E_i}(\phi E_i)}\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i} E_i}\psi \\
&= \sigma \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot (\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(E_j) \cdot \nabla_{E_j}\psi \\
&\quad - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot \nabla_{E_i} E_j \cdot \nabla_{\phi E_j}\psi - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} E_i \cdot \nabla_{\phi E_i} E_j \cdot \nabla_{E_j}\psi \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\nabla_{E_i}(\phi E_i)}\psi + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nabla_{\nabla_{\phi E_i} E_i}\psi,
\end{aligned}$$

we now obtain the formula of the proposition. QED.

Remark. On Kähler spin manifolds (i.e., if ϕ is skew-symmetric and $\nabla\phi = 0$), the relations in Proposition 2.1-2.2 simplify to the well-known relation [13]

$$\widetilde{D}^2 = D^2 \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$D\widetilde{D} + \widetilde{D}D = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

respectively. However, the relation (2.7) does not generally hold on locally decomposable Riemannian spin manifolds.

Analogously to the *Kählerian twistor equation* [14, 15], we now consider the following spinor field equation

$$\nabla_{\phi X}\psi = p\,\phi(X) \cdot D\psi + q\,X \cdot \widetilde{D}\psi, \qquad p \neq 0,\ q \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\nabla_X \psi = p\,X \cdot D\psi + \sigma\,q\,\phi(X) \cdot \widetilde{D}\psi. \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.1 A non-trivial solution ψ to the field equation (2.8) on almost product Riemannian (resp. almost Hermitian) spin manifold (M^n, g, ϕ) is called *quasi-twistor-spinor* (resp. *Hermitian twistor-spinor*) of type (p,q).

Proposition 2.3 *Let (M^n, g, ϕ) admit a quasi-twistor-spinor ψ (resp. Hermitian twistor-spinor) of type (p, q). Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Ric}(X)\cdot\psi &= -p\,X\cdot D^2\psi-(2p+1)\nabla_X(D\psi)-2\sigma q\nabla_{\phi X}(\widetilde{D}\psi)-\sigma q\,\phi(X)\cdot D\widetilde{D}\psi\\ &\quad+\sigma q\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot(\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(X)\cdot\widetilde{D}\psi.\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

Contracting this equation via $S\,\psi=-\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\mathrm{Ric}(E_i)\cdot\psi$ *gives*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}S\,\psi &= (1+2p-np)D^2\psi+2\sigma q\,\widetilde{D}^2\psi+\sigma q\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\phi(E_i)\cdot D\widetilde{D}\psi\\ &\quad-\sigma q\sum_{i,j=1}^{n}E_j\cdot E_i\cdot(\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(E_j)\cdot\widetilde{D}\psi.\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Applying (2.8) to the ($\frac{1}{2}$*Ricci*)-formula (see Lemma 1.2 in [10])

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Ric}(X)\cdot\psi=D(\nabla_X\psi)-\nabla_X(D\psi)-\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\nabla_{\nabla_{E_i}X}\psi,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Ric}(X)\cdot\psi &= -\nabla_X(D\psi)+D\Big(pX\cdot D\psi+\sigma q\,\phi(X)\cdot\widetilde{D}\psi\Big)\\ &\quad-\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\Big\{p\nabla_{E_i}X\cdot D\psi+\sigma q\,\phi(\nabla_{E_i}X)\cdot\widetilde{D}\psi\Big\}\\ &= -\nabla_X(D\psi)+p\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}X\cdot D\psi-2p\nabla_X(D\psi)-pX\cdot D^2\psi\\ &\quad+\sigma q\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}(\phi X)\cdot\widetilde{D}\psi-2\sigma q\nabla_{\phi X}(\widetilde{D}\psi)-\sigma q\,\phi(X)\cdot D\widetilde{D}\psi\\ &\quad-p\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\nabla_{E_i}X\cdot D\psi-\sigma q\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot\phi(\nabla_{E_i}X)\cdot\widetilde{D}\psi\\ &= -p\,X\cdot D^2\psi-(2p+1)\nabla_X(D\psi)-2\sigma q\nabla_{\phi X}(\widetilde{D}\psi)-\sigma q\,\phi(X)\cdot D\widetilde{D}\psi\\ &\quad+\sigma q\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot(\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(X)\cdot\widetilde{D}\psi.\end{aligned}$$

QED.

Corollary 2.1 *Let (M^n, g, ϕ) be an almost product Riemannian (resp. almost Hermitian) spin manifold with $\nabla\phi = 0$. Assume that (M^n, g, ϕ) admits a quasi-twistor-spinor ψ (resp. Hermitian twistor-spinor) of type (p, q). Then we have*

$$4(p+q+1)\, D^2\psi = S\,\psi. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. We first consider the case $\sigma = -1$. Let Ω be the fundamental 2-form defined by

$$\Omega(X,Y) = g(X, \phi Y).$$

Then we know that

$$D\Omega - \Omega D = -2\widetilde{D}, \qquad \widetilde{D}\Omega - \Omega\widetilde{D} = 2D. \tag{2.12}$$

On the other hand, contracting the equation (2.8), we obtain

$$(1+nq)\,\widetilde{D}\psi = -2p\,\Omega\cdot D\psi, \qquad (1+np)\,D\psi = 2q\,\Omega\cdot\widetilde{D}\psi. \tag{2.13}$$

Applying (2.12) to (2.13), we have

$$\Omega\cdot D\widetilde{D}\psi = \frac{1+4p+nq}{2p}\,D^2\psi = \frac{1+np+4q}{2q}\,D^2\psi. \tag{2.14}$$

Consequently, inserting (2.14) into (2.10) gives the formula (2.11) of the corollary. Now we prove that (2.11) is also true for the other case $\sigma = 1$. Contracting the equation (2.8), we obtain

$$(1+np)\,D\psi = -q\,\mathrm{Tr}(\phi)\,\widetilde{D}\psi, \qquad (1+nq)\,\widetilde{D}\psi = -p\,\mathrm{Tr}(\phi)\,D\psi. \tag{2.15}$$

Inserting (2.15) into (2.10) gives the formula (2.11). QED.

In the rest of the section we make some remarks on the limiting case of Theorem 1.1 (resp. Theorem 1.2). It is obvious that a locally decomposable Riemannian spin manifold (M^n, g, ϕ) is a limiting manifold of Theorem 1.1 if and only if (M^n, g, ϕ) admits such an eigenspinor ψ^* of the Dirac operator that is a quasi-twistor-spinor of type $(-\frac{1}{n}, -\frac{1}{n})$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.1, the scalar curvature of any limiting manifold of Theorem 1.1 is necessarily constant.

In order to discuss the limiting case of Theorem 1.2, we now consider a special type of spinor field equation

$$\nabla_X\psi = a\,X\cdot\psi + b\,\phi(X)\cdot\psi, \qquad a \neq 0,\, b \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{2.16}$$

which is closely related to the quasi-twistor equation (2.8). From (2.15) we observe that the equation (2.8) reduces to (2.16) in the following cases :
(i) $D\psi = \lambda\psi$ for some $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(\phi) \neq 0$.
(ii) $D\psi = \lambda\psi$ for some $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \neq -\frac{1}{n}$.

Definition 2.2 A non-trivial solution ψ to the field equation (2.16) on almost product Riemannian spin manifold (M^n, g, ϕ) is called *quasi-Killing spinor* of type (a,b).

Proposition 2.4 *Let (M^n, g, ϕ) be an almost product Riemannian spin manifold admitting a quasi-Killing spinor ψ of type (a,b). Then we have*

$$\mathrm{Ric}(X)\cdot\psi = 4\Big\{(n-1)a^2 + ab\cdot\mathrm{Tr}(\phi) - b^2\Big\}X\cdot\psi \tag{2.17}$$

$$+4\Big\{b^2\cdot\mathrm{Tr}(\phi) + (n-2)ab\Big\}\phi(X)\cdot\psi + 2b\sum_{i=1}^{n}E_i\cdot(\nabla_{E_i}\phi)(X)\cdot\psi.$$

In particular, the scalar curvature S must be constant and given by

$$S = 4n(n-1)a^2 + 8(n-1)ab\cdot\mathrm{Tr}(\phi) - 4nb^2 + 4b^2(\mathrm{Tr}\phi)^2. \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. Applying (2.16) to the ($\frac{1}{2}Ricci$)-formula, we obtain the equation (2.17) immediately. Contracting (2.17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} S\,\psi &= 4n\Big\{(n-1)a^2 + ab\cdot\mathrm{Tr}(\phi) - b^2\Big\}\psi \\ &\quad +4\,\mathrm{Tr}(\phi)\Big\{b^2\cdot\mathrm{Tr}(\phi) + (n-2)ab\Big\}\psi + 4b\,\mathrm{div}(\phi)\cdot\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathrm{div}(\phi) = 0$ must vanish, and rewriting gives (2.18). QED.

Certainly, a locally decomposable Riemannian spin manifold (M^n, g, ϕ) is a limiting manifold of Theorem 1.2 if and only if (M^n, g, ϕ) admits a quasi-Killing spinor ψ^* of type $(-\frac{\lambda^*}{2n_1}, -\frac{\lambda^*}{2n_1})$, $\lambda^* \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, the Ricci tensor (2.17) and the scalar curvature (2.18) simplify to

$$\mathrm{Ric}(X,Y) = \frac{2(n_1-1)}{n_1^2}\cdot(\lambda^*)^2\cdot\Big\{g(X,Y) + g(\phi(X),Y)\Big\} \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$S = \frac{4(n_1-1)}{n_1}\cdot(\lambda^*)^2,$$

respectively. If $(M^n, g) = (M_1^{n_1}\times M_2^{n_2},\, g_1 + g_2)$ is a global Riemannian product, then (2.19) implies that $(M_1^{n_1}, g_1)$ is necessarily Einstein with positive scalar curvature and $(M_2^{n_2}, g_2)$ is Ricci-flat.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1-1.2

Let (M^n, g, ϕ) be a locally decomposable Riemannian spin manifold. Since $\nabla\phi = 0$ vanishes on M^n, the operator $\widetilde{D}$ is self-adjoint with respect to L^2-product (see (2.2)). Moreover, Proposition 2.1 implies

$$\widetilde{D}^2 = D^2. \tag{3.1}$$

Let us define the *quasi-twistor operator* $\mathcal{T} : \Gamma(T(M^n)) \times \Gamma(\Sigma(M^n)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Sigma(M^n))$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_X(\varphi) := \nabla_X \varphi - p\, X \cdot D\varphi - q\, \phi(X) \cdot \widetilde{D}\varphi, \qquad p \neq 0,\, q \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then a direct calculation using (3.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ &\leq \ \int_{M^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{T}_{E_i}(\varphi),\, \mathcal{T}_{E_i}(\varphi))\mu & (3.2) \\ &= \ \int_{M^n} \Big\{ (\nabla\varphi,\, \nabla\varphi) + (np^2 + 2p + nq^2 + 2q)(D^2\varphi,\, \varphi) + 2pq \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(\phi) \cdot (\widetilde{D}D\varphi,\, \varphi) \Big\} \mu, \end{aligned}$$

where μ is the volume form of (M^n, g). Now assume that

$$n_1 = n_2, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \mathrm{Tr}(\phi) = 0.$$

Then the equations in (2.15) imply that the optimal parameters p, q are

$$p = q = -\frac{1}{n}.$$

Let ψ be an eigenspinor of D with eigenvalue λ. Then, applying the Schrödinger-Lichnerowicz formula to the equation (3.2), we obtain

$$0 \ \leq \ \int_{M^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{T}_{E_i}(\psi),\, \mathcal{T}_{E_i}(\psi))\mu \ = \ \int_{M^n} \Big\{ \frac{n-2}{n} \cdot \lambda^2 - \frac{S}{4} \Big\} (\psi, \psi)\mu.$$

This proves the inequality of Theorem 1.1. The limiting case of the inequality is clear.

Next we prove Theorem 1.2. In order to control the last term $2pq \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(\phi) \cdot (\widetilde{D}D\varphi,\, \varphi)$ in (3.2), we introduce free parameters $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ &\leq \ \int_{M^n} \Big\{ a^2 (\, \widetilde{D}\varphi - bD\varphi,\, \widetilde{D}\varphi - bD\varphi\,) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{T}_{E_i}(\varphi),\, \mathcal{T}_{E_i}(\varphi)) \Big\} \mu \\ &= \ \int_{M^n} \Big\langle -\frac{S}{4}(\varphi, \varphi) + (1 + np^2 + 2p + nq^2 + 2q + a^2 + a^2 b^2)(D^2\varphi,\, \varphi) \\ &\qquad + \{ 2pq\, \mathrm{Tr}(\phi) - 2a^2 b \} (\widetilde{D}D\varphi,\, \varphi) \Big\rangle \mu, & (3.3) \end{aligned}$$

Here we choose the parameters $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ in such a way that the last term in (3.3) vanishes and the equations in (2.15) are satisfied with $\widetilde{D}\psi = bD\psi$, i.e.,

$$b = \frac{pq\, \mathrm{Tr}(\phi)}{a^2} = -\frac{p\, \mathrm{Tr}(\phi)}{1 + nq} = -\frac{1 + np}{q\, \mathrm{Tr}(\phi)}. \tag{3.4}$$

From this, it follows immediately that

$$(\mathrm{Tr}\phi)^2 = (n_1 - n_2)^2 = \frac{(1 + np)(1 + nq)}{pq} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$a^2 = -q(1+nq), \qquad b^2 = \frac{p(1+np)}{q(1+nq)}. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $a^2 > 0$ and $b^2 > 0$, we see that $-\frac{1}{n} < p < 0$ and $-\frac{1}{n} < q < 0$. Inserting (3.6) into (3.3) and assuming that $\varphi = \psi$ is an eigenspinor of D with eigenvalue λ, we now find that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;&\leq\; \int_{M^n} \Big\{ a^2 (\,\widetilde{D}\psi - bD\psi,\, \widetilde{D}\psi - bD\psi\,) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathcal{T}_{E_i}(\psi),\, \mathcal{T}_{E_i}(\psi)) \Big\} \mu \\ &= \int_{M^n} \Big\{ (1+p+q)\lambda^2 - \frac{S}{4} \Big\} (\psi, \psi) \mu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Applying Lagrange's method to the function $f(p,q) := 1+p+q$ with the side condition (3.5), one verifies easily that $f(p,q)$ has its minimum $\frac{n_1 - 1}{n_1}$ at the point

$$\Big(p = -\frac{1}{2n_1},\, q = -\frac{1}{2n_1} \Big). \tag{3.8}$$

Consequently, (3.7) together with (3.8) leads us to the inequality of Theorem 1.2. Moreover, inserting (3.8) into (3.6) gives

$$a^2 = \frac{n_1 - n_2}{4\, n_1^2}, \qquad b = 1. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus the limiting case of Theorem 1.2 is clear from (3.7).

4 Some limiting manifolds

We show that some special types of Riemannian product manifolds satisfy the limiting case of Theorem 1.1 (resp. Theorem 1.2). For that purpose we need to recall some algebraic formulas describing the action of the Clifford algebra on tensor products of spinor fields [4, 10, 17]. We begin with the case that the first manifold (M_1^{2m}, g_1) is of even dimension $2m \geq 2$ and the second manifold (M_2^r, g_2) is of general dimension $r \geq 2$. In this case the Riemannian product manifold $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r,\, g_1 + g_2)$ possesses a naturally induced spin structure and the spinor bundle of $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r,\, g_1 + g_2)$ is no other than the tensor product of the spinor bundle of (M_1^{2m}, g_1) and the spinor bundle of (M_2^r, g_2). Therefore, if ψ_1 and ψ_2 are a spinor field on (M_1^{2m}, g_1) and (M_2^r, g_2), respectively, then the tensor product $\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2$ is well defined on $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r,\, g_1 + g_2)$. Let us denote by $(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m})$ and $(F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ a local orthonormal frame on (M_1^{2m}, g_1) and (M_2^r, g_2), respectively. Identifying $(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m})$ and $(F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ with their lifts to $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r,\, g_1 + g_2)$, we regard $(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m}, F_1, \ldots, F_r)$ as a local orthonormal frame on $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r, g_1 + g_2)$. Then the Clifford bundle $\mathrm{Cl}(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r)$ of $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r, g_1 + g_2)$ acts on the spinor bundle $\Sigma(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r)$ via

$$E_i \cdot (\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \;=\; (E_i \cdot \psi_1) \otimes \psi_2, \qquad 1 \leq i \leq 2m, \tag{4.1}$$

$$F_j \cdot (\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \;=\; (\sqrt{-1})^m (\mu_1 \cdot \psi_1) \otimes (F_j \cdot \psi_2), \qquad 1 \leq j \leq r, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\mu_1 = E^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge E^{2m}$, $E^k := g_1(E_k, \cdot)$, is the volume form of (M_1^{2m}, g_1). Denote by ∇^1 (resp. ∇^2) the Levi-Civita connection and by D_1 (resp. D_2) the Dirac operator of (M_1^{2m}, g_1) (resp. (M_2^r, g_2)). From (4.1)-(4.2), we immediately obtain the following formulas for the spin derivative ∇ and the Dirac operator D of $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r,\ g_1 + g_2)$:

$$\nabla_X(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \;=\; (\nabla^1_{\pi_1(X)}\,\psi_1) \otimes \psi_2 + \psi_1 \otimes (\nabla^2_{\pi_2(X)}\,\psi_2), \tag{4.3}$$

$$D(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \;=\; (D_1\psi_1) \otimes \psi_2 + (\sqrt{-1})^m (\mu_1 \cdot \psi_1) \otimes (D_2\psi_2), \tag{4.4}$$

$$D^2(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) \;=\; (D_1^2\psi_1) \otimes \psi_2 + \psi_1 \otimes (D_2^2\psi_2), \tag{4.5}$$

where $\pi_1 : T(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r) \longrightarrow T(M_1^{2m})$ and $\pi_2 : T(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^r) \longrightarrow T(M_2^r)$ are the natural projections.

Theorem 4.1 *Let* (M_1^{2m}, g_1), $m \geq 1$, *be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting a Killing spinor* ψ_1 *with* $D_1\psi_1 = \lambda_1\psi_1$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* (M_2^{2m}, g_2) *be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting a Killing spinor* ψ_2 *with* $D_2\psi_2 = \lambda_2$, $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ *(Here we allow* λ_2 *to be zero). Then the Riemannian product manifold* $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^{2m},\ g_1 + g_2)$ *admits such a non-trivial eigenspinor* ψ^* *of the Dirac operator* D *that satisfies the equation (1.3) of Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. The spinor bundle $\Sigma(M_1^{2m})$ of (M_1^{2m}, g_1) decomposes into $\Sigma(M_1^{2m}) = \Sigma^+(M_1^{2m}) \oplus \Sigma^-(M_1^{2m})$ under the action of the volume element $\mu_1 = E_1 \cdots E_{2m}$,

$$\Sigma^{\pm}(M_1^{2m}) := \{\, \varphi \in \Sigma(M_1^{2m}) : \mu_1 \cdot \varphi = \pm(\sqrt{-1})^m \varphi \,\}.$$

Let $\psi_1^{\pm} \in \Gamma(\Sigma^{\pm}(M_1^{2m}))$ be the positive and negative part of ψ_1, respectively. Set

$$\psi := \psi_1^+ \otimes \psi_2.$$

Then, using the formula (4.5), we have

$$D^2\psi = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)(\psi_1^+ \otimes \psi_2) = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)\psi.$$

Let S_1 and S_2 be the scalar curvature of (M_1^{2m}, g_1) and (M_2^{2m}, g_2), respectively. Then $\lambda^* := \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}$ is related to the scalar curvature $S = S_1 + S_2$ of $(M_1^{2m} \times M_2^{2m},\ g_1 + g_2)$ as

$$(\lambda^*)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{2m}{2m-1} \cdot S_1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{2m}{2m-1} \cdot S_2 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{n}{n-2} \cdot S, \quad n = 4m,$$

and

$$\psi^* \;:=\; \lambda^*\,\psi + D\psi = \{\lambda^* + \lambda_2(-1)^m\}(\psi_1^+ \otimes \psi_2) + \lambda_1(\psi_1^- \otimes \psi_2)$$

is indeed such a non-trivial eigenspinor of D with eigenvalue λ^* that satisfies the equation (1.3). QED.

Theorem 4.2 *Let* (M_1^{2m}, g_1), $m \geq 1$, *be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting a Killing spinor* ψ_1 *with* $D_1\psi_1 = \lambda_1\psi_1$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $(\overline{M}_2^r, \overline{g}_2)$, $2m > r \geq 2$, *be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting a parallel spinor* ψ_2. *Let* (S^1, g_S) *be a circle with the standard metric. Then the Riemannian product manifold* $(M_1^{2m} \times \overline{M}_2^r,\ g_1 + \overline{g}_2)$ *as well as* $(M_1^{2m} \times S^1,\ g_1 + g_S)$ *admits such a non-trivial eigenspinor* ψ^* *of the Dirac operator* D *that satisfies the equation (1.4) of Theorem 1.2.*

Proof. Applying the argument in the proof for Theorem 4.1, one proves that $(M_1^{2m} \times \overline{M}_2^r,\ g_1 + \overline{g}_2)$ satisfies the limiting case of Theorem 1.2. To prove the latter part of the theorem, it suffices to check that the lift ψ_1^* of ψ_1 to $(M_1^{2m} \times S^1,\ g_1 + g_S)$ satisfies the equation (1.4) of Theorem 1.2. QED.

Now we proceed to the other case that the first manifold $(M_1^{2m+1},\ g_1)$, $m \geq 1$, as well as the second manifold $(M_2^{2s+1},\ g_2)$, $s \geq 0$, is of odd dimension. We will modify the relations (4.1)-(4.5) slightly to be appropriate in this case. Let (N^1, g_N) be a 1-dimensional connected manifold (i.e., an open interval or a circle) with the standard metric g_N, and let $(Q^{2m+2} = M_1^{2m+1} \times N^1,\ g_Q = g_1 + g_N)$ be the Riemannian product manifold. Let E_{2m+2} denote a unit vector field on (N^1, g_N) as well as the lift to (Q^{2m+2}, g_Q). Denote by $(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m+1})$ a local orthonormal frame on (M_1^{2m+1}, g_1) as well as the lift to (Q^{2m+2}, g_Q). Then the spinor bundle $\Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})$ of $(M_1^{2m+1},\ g_1)$ may be thought to be embedded in the positive part $\Sigma^+(Q^{2m+2})$ (resp. in the negative part $\Sigma^-(Q^{2m+2})$) of the spinor bundle $\Sigma(Q^{2m+2})$ of (Q^{2m+2}, g_Q), the Clifford multiplication $\mathrm{Cl}(M_1^{2m+1}) \times \Sigma(M_1^{2m+1}) \longrightarrow \Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})$ being naturally related to the one $\mathrm{Cl}(Q^{2m+2}) \times \Sigma(Q^{2m+2}) \longrightarrow \Sigma(Q^{2m+2})$ via

$$E_i \cdot (\pi_Q \psi^{\pm}) = \pi_Q(E_i \cdot E_{2m+2} \cdot \psi^{\pm}), \qquad 1 \leq i \leq 2m+1, \tag{4.6}$$

where $\psi^{\pm} \in \Gamma(\Sigma^{\pm}(Q^{2m+2}))$ and $\pi_Q : \Sigma^{\pm}(Q^{2m+2}) \longrightarrow \Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})$ is the restriction map. Let $(F_1, \ldots, F_{2s+1})$ be a local orthonormal frame on (M_2^{2s+1}, g_2). Identifying $(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m+1})$ and $(F_1, \ldots, F_{2s+1})$ with their lifts to $(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1},\ g_1 + g_2)$, we regard $(E_1, \ldots, E_{2m+1}, F_1, \ldots, F_{2s+1})$ as a local orthonormal frame on $(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1},\ g_1 + g_2)$. Then, with help of (4.6), one can define a natural action of the Clifford bundle $\mathrm{Cl}(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1})$ of $(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1},\ g_1 + g_2)$ on the spinor bundle

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1}) &= \Big\{\Sigma(M_1^{2m+1}) \oplus \Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})\Big\} \otimes \Sigma(M_2^{2s+1}) \\ &\subset \Big\{\Sigma^+(Q^{2m+2}) \oplus \Sigma^-(Q^{2m+2})\Big\} \otimes \Sigma(M_2^{2s+1}) \end{aligned}$$

of $(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1},\ g_1 + g_2)$ by

$$E_i \cdot \{(\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2\} = \{E_i \cdot E_{2m+2} \cdot (\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-)\} \otimes \psi_2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2m+1, \tag{4.7}$$

$$F_j \cdot \{(\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2\} = \{E_{2m+2} \cdot (\psi_1^- - \psi_1^+)\} \otimes (F_j \cdot \psi_2), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2s+1, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\psi_1^{\pm} \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})) \subset \Gamma(\Sigma^{\pm}(Q^{2m+2}))$ and $\psi_2 \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M_2^{2s+1}))$. Denote by ∇^1 (resp. ∇^2) the Levi-Civita connection and by D_1 (resp. D_2) the Dirac operator of (M_1^{2m+1}, g_1)

(resp. (M_2^{2s+1}, g_2)). From (4.7)-(4.8), we now obtain the following formulas for the spin derivative ∇ and the Dirac operator D of $(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1},\, g_1 + g_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_X((\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2) &= \Big\{\nabla^1_{\pi_1(X)}\, \psi_1^+ + \nabla^1_{\pi_1(X)} \psi_1^-\Big\} \otimes \psi_2 + (\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes (\nabla^2_{\pi_2(X)}\, \psi_2), \qquad (4.9)\\
D((\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2) &= (D_1\psi_1^+ + D_1\psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2 + \Big\{E_{2m+2} \cdot (\psi_1^- - \psi_1^+)\Big\} \otimes (D_2\psi_2), \qquad (4.10)\\
D^2((\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2) &= (D_1^2\psi_1^+ + D_1^2\psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2 + (\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes (D_2^2\psi_2), \qquad (4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_1 : T(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1}) \longrightarrow T(M_1^{2m+1})$ and $\pi_2 : T(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2s+1}) \longrightarrow T(M_2^{2s+1})$ are the natural projections.

Theorem 4.3 *Let (M_1^{2m+1}, g_1), $m \geq 1$, be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting a Killing spinor $\psi_1^\pm \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})) \subset \Gamma(\Sigma^\pm(Q^{2m+2}))$ with $D_1\psi_1^\pm = \lambda_1\psi_1^\pm$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Let (M_2^{2m+1}, g_2) be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting a Killing spinor ψ_2 with $D_2\psi_2 = \lambda_2$, $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ (Here we allow λ_2 to be zero). Then the Riemannian product manifold $(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2m+1},\, g_1 + g_2)$ admits such a non-trivial eigenspinor ψ^* of the Dirac operator D that satisfies the equation (1.3) of Theorem 1.1.*

Proof. Set

$$\psi := (\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2.$$

Then, using the formula (4.11), we have

$$D^2\psi = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)(\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2 = (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)\psi$$

and $\lambda^* := \sqrt{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}$ is related to the scalar curvature $S = S_1 + S_2$ of $(M_1^{2m+1} \times M_2^{2m+1},\, g_1 + g_2)$ as

$$(\lambda^*)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{2m+1}{2m+1-1} \cdot S_1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{2m+1}{2m+1-1} \cdot S_2 = \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{n}{n-2} \cdot S, \quad n = 4m+2.$$

Consequently,

$$\psi^* := \lambda^*\, \psi + D\psi = (\lambda^* + \lambda_1)(\psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-) \otimes \psi_2 + \lambda_2\{E_{2m+2} \cdot (\psi^- - \psi^+)\} \otimes \psi_2$$

is indeed such a non-trivial eigenspinor of D with eigenvalue λ^* that satisfies the equation (1.3). QED.

Remark. As mentioned above, the spinor bundle $\Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})$ of (M_1^{2m+1}, g_1) may be thought to be embedded in the positive part $\Sigma^+(Q^{2m+2})$ (resp. in the negative part $\Sigma^-(Q^{2m+2})$) of the spinor bundle $\Sigma(Q^{2m+2})$. Obviously, there exists a Killing spinor $\psi_1^+ \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})) \subset \Gamma(\Sigma^+(Q^{2m+2}))$ with $D_1\psi_1^+ = \lambda_1\psi_1^+$ if and only if there exists a Killing spinor $\psi_1^- \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})) \subset \Gamma(\Sigma^-(Q^{2m+2}))$ with $D_1\psi_1^- = \lambda_1\psi_1^-$ (e.g., one can take $\psi_1^- := E_{2m+2} \cdot \psi_1^+$).

Theorem 4.4 *Let* (M_1^{2m+1}, g_1), $m \geq 1$, *be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting a Killing spinor* $\psi_1^{\pm} \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M_1^{2m+1})) \subset \Gamma(\Sigma^{\pm}(Q^{2m+2}))$ *with* $D_1\psi_1^{\pm} = \lambda_1\psi_1^{\pm}$, $\lambda_1 \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}$. *Let* $(\overline{M}_2^{2s+1}, \overline{g}_2)$, $2m+1 > 2s+1 \geq 3$, *be a Riemannian spin manifold admitting a parallel spinor* ψ_2. *Let* (S^1, g_S) *be a circle with the standard metric. Then the Riemannian product manifold* $(M_1^{2m+1} \times \overline{M}_2^{2s+1},\ g_1 + \overline{g}_2)$ *as well as* $(M_1^{2m+1} \times S^1,\ g_1 + g_S)$ *admits such a non-trivial eigenspinor* ψ^* *of the Dirac operator* D *that satisfies the equation (1.4) of Theorem 1.2*

Proof. Applying the argument in the proof for Theorem 4.3, one proves that $(M_1^{2m+1} \times \overline{M}_2^{2s+1},\ g_1 + \overline{g}_2)$ satisfies the limiting case of Theorem 1.2. To prove the latter part of the theorem, it suffices to check that $\psi_1^* := \psi_1^+ + \psi_1^-$ satisfies the equation (1.4) of Theorem 1.2. QED.

Remark. Let (M^n, g), $n \geq 3$, be a Riemannian spin manifold possessing a parallel unit vector field ξ. Let $\eta = g(\cdot,\ \xi)$ be the dual 1-form. Then the endomorphism ϕ defined by

$$\phi(X) = X - 2\,\eta(X)\xi$$

is an almost product Riemannian structure with $\nabla\phi = 0$. Thus we find that the inequality (1.2) is indeed a special case of the inequality in Theorem 1.2, with $n_1 = n-1$ and $n_2 = 1$.

Remark. It may be of interest to classify all the types of limiting manifolds of Theorem 1.1 (resp. Theorem 1.2). An important problem toward this classification is to consider only simply connected limiting manifolds, i.e., those limiting manifolds (M^n, g) that are global Riemannian products $(M^n, g) = (M_1^{n_1} \times M_2^{n_2},\ g_1 + g_2)$, and answer the following question : Do there exist such limiting manifolds (Riemannian products) of Theorem 1.1 (resp. Theorem 1.2) that do not belong to the type (i) (resp. the type (ii)) described at the end of the introduction of the paper ?

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by the Special Research Fund 2003 of Andong National University.

References

[1] Alexandrov, B., Grantcharov, G., Ivanov, S.: An estimate for the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on compact Riemannian spin manifold admitting parallel one-form. J. Geom. Phys. **28**, 263-270 (1998).

[2] Bär, C.: Real Killing spinors and holonomy. Commun. Math. Phys. **154**, 509-521 (1993)

[3] Baum, H., Friedrich, Th., Grunewald, R., Kath, I.: Twistors and Killing spinors on Riemannian manifolds. Leipzig-Stuttgart: Teubner 1991

[4] Cahen, M., Gutt, S., Trautman A.: Pin structures and the modified Dirac operator. J. Geom. Phys. **17**, 283-297 (1995)

[5] Hijazi, O.: A conformal lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Dirac operator and Killing spinors. Commun. Math. Phys. **104**, 151-162 (1986)

[6] Hijazi, O.: Lower bounds for eigenvalues of the Dirac operator through modified connections. J. Geom. Phys. **16**, 27-38 (1995)

[7] Hijazi, O.: Spectral properties of the Dirac operator and geometrical structures. Univ. Nantes: Lecture note 1998

[8] Friedrich, Th.: Der erste Eigenwert des Dirac-Operators einer kompakten Riemannschen Mannigfaltigkeit nichtnegativer Skalarkrümmung. Math. Nachr. **97**, 117-146 (1980)

[9] Friedrich, Th.: The classification of 4-dimensional Kähler manifolds with small eigenvalue of the Dirac operator. Math. Ann. **295**, 565-574 (1993)

[10] Friedrich, Th., Kim, E.C.: The Einstein-Dirac equation on Riemannian spin manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. **33**, 128-172 (2000)

[11] Friedrich, Th., Kim, E.C.: Some remarks on the Hijazi inequality and generalizations of the Killing equation for spinors. J. Geom. Phys. **37**, 1-14 (2001)

[12] Jung, S.D.: The first eigenvalue of the transversal Dirac operator. J. Geom. Phys. **39**, 253-264 (2001)

[13] Kirchberg, K.-D.: An estimation for the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on closed Kähler manifolds of positive scalar curvature. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **4**, 291-326 (1986)

[14] Kirchberg, K.-D.: The first eigenvalue of the Dirac operator on Kähler manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. **7**, 449-468 (1990)

[15] Kirchberg, K.-D.: Properties of Kählerian twistor-spinors and vanishing theorems. Math. Ann. **293**, 349-369 (1992)

[16] Kramer, W., Semmelmann, U., Weingart, G.: Eigenvalue estimates for the Dirac operator on quaternionic Kähler manifolds. Math. Z. **230**, 727-751 (1999)

[17] Kraus, M., Tretter, C.: A new method for eigenvalue estimates for Dirac operators on certain manifolds with S^k-symmetry. Differ. Geom. Appl. **19**, 1-14 (2003)

[18] Lott, J.: Eigenvalue bounds for the Dirac operator. Pac. J. Math. **125**, 117-126 (1986)

[19] Moroianu, A.: Kähler manifolds with small eigenvalues of the Dirac operator and a conjecture of Lichnerowicz. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49**, 1637-1659 (1999)

[20] Moroianu, A., Ornea, L.: Eigenvalue estimates for the Dirac operator and harmonic 1-forms of constant length. math.DG/0305140.

[21] Petersen, P.: Riemannian geometry. New York-Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer 1998

[22] Wang, M.: Parallel spinors and parallel forms. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **7**, 59-68 (1989)

[23] Yano, K., Kon, M.: Structure on manifolds. Singapore: World Sci. 1984